

# Simons' Type Equation in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and Applications

Márcio Batista<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

Equations of Simons type are presented. They are satisfied by a pair of special operators associated to the immersion  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  with constant mean curvature. Some immersions are characterized.

*Keywords* : Constant Mean Curvature; Simons' Type Equation.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53E40.

## 1 Introduction

In 1994, using the traceless second fundamental form  $\phi = A - HI$  associated to an immersed hypersurface  $M^n \looparrowright \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ , H. Alencar and M. do Carmo, see [AdC], proved that

**Theorem.** Let  $M^n \looparrowright \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$  be an immersed hypersurface. If  $M^n$  is compact and orientable with constant mean curvature  $H$  and

$$|\phi|^2 \leq B_H,$$

where  $B_H$  is the square of the positive root of

$$P_H(x) = x^2 + \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}Hx - n(H^2 + 1).$$

Then:

- (a) Either  $|\phi|^2 = 0$  (and  $\Sigma^2$  is totally umbilic) or  $|\phi|^2 = B_H$ .
- (b) The  $H(r)$ -tori  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r) \times \mathbb{S}^1(1-r^2)$  with  $r^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n}$  are the only hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature  $H$  and  $|\phi|^2 = B_H$ .

Motivated by this result we decided to study this problem for surfaces in  $M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  with  $c = \pm 1$ , where  $M^2(-1) = \mathbb{H}^2$  and  $M^2(1) = \mathbb{S}^2$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>The author was partially supported by CNPq, at UFAL, Brazil.

We begin by using the traceless second fundamental form  $\phi$  associate to an immersed surface  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Then we study, in section 3, a special tensor  $S$  defined by

$$SX = 2HAX - c \langle X, T \rangle T + \frac{c}{2}(1 - \nu^2)X - 2H^2X, \quad (1)$$

where  $X \in T_p\Sigma$ ,  $A$  is the Weingarten operator associated to the second fundamental form,  $H$  is the mean curvature,  $T$  is the tangential component of the parallel field  $\partial_t$ , tangent to  $\mathbb{R}$  in  $M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\nu = \langle N, \partial_t \rangle$ .

This operator satisfies Codazzi's equation, provided  $H$  is constant, and its trace vanishes. We remark that any surface with  $|S| = 0$  and constant mean curvature is very interesting, because the  $(2,0)$ -part of the quadratic differential  $Q$ ,

$$Q(X, Y) = 2H \langle AX, Y \rangle - c \langle X, \partial_t \rangle \langle Y, \partial_t \rangle,$$

of these surfaces is null. In [AR] section 2, Abresch and Rosenberg described four distinct classes of complete, possibly immersed, constant mean curvature surfaces  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  with vanishing  $(2,0)$ -part of quadratic differential  $Q$ .

Three of these classes are comprised by the embedded rotationally invariant surfaces; (i) they are the constant mean curvature spheres  $S_H^2 \looparrowright M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  of Hsiang and Pedrosa, see [Hs] section 3 to the case  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  and [PR] to the case  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ , (ii) their non-compact cousins  $D_H^2$ , and (iii) the surfaces  $C_H^2$  of catenoidal type. The fourth class is comprised of certain orbits  $P_H^2$  of the 2-dimensional solvable groups of isometries of  $M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ . In this paper we will call these surface described in [AR] Abresch-Rosenberg surfaces.

Then we obtain an equation of Simons type to  $S$  and apply it in some particular cases:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  be an immersed surface with non zero constant mean curvature  $H$  and  $S$  as defined in (1). Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Delta|S|^2 &= |\nabla S|^2 - |S|^4 + |S|^2 \left( \frac{5c\nu^2}{2} - \frac{c}{2} + 2H^2 - \frac{c}{H} \langle ST, T \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + c|ST|^2 - \frac{1}{4H^2} \langle ST, T \rangle^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the polynomial  $p_H(t) = -t^2 - \frac{1}{H}t + \left( \frac{4H^2 - 1}{2} \right)$ . When  $H$  is greater than one half then there is a positive root for  $p_H$ . Let  $L_H$  be such the positive root. One has:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  be a complete immersed surface with constant mean curvature  $H$  greater than one half. Assume that*

$$\sup_{\Sigma} |S| < L_H.$$

*Then  $\Sigma^2 = S_H^2$ , i.e.,  $\Sigma^2$  is a Hsiang-Pedrosa sphere.*

Remark.1: The number  $L_H$  is  $\frac{4H^2 - 1}{\sqrt{8H^4 - 2H^2 + 1} - 1}$ .

Let us consider the polynomial  $q_H(t) = -t^2 - \frac{1}{H}t + \left(\frac{8H^4 - 12H^2 - 1}{4H^2}\right)$ .

When  $H$  greater than  $\sqrt{\frac{12 + \sqrt{176}}{16}}$ , then there is a positive root for  $q_H$ . Let  $M_H$  be such the positive root.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  be a complete immersed surface with constant mean curvature  $H$  greater than  $\sqrt{\frac{12 + \sqrt{176}}{16}} \approx 1.25664$ . Assume that*

$$\sup_{\Sigma} |S| < M_H.$$

*Then  $\Sigma^2$  is an Abresch-Rosenberg surface.*

Remark.2: The number  $M_H$  is  $\frac{8H^4 - 12H^2 - 1}{2H(\sqrt{8H^4 - 12H^2 - 1} + 1)}$ .

Remark.3: We have obtained some results in the compact case in section 4.

**Acknowledgements.** This is part of my Doctoral Dissertation at IMPA. I want to thank M. do Carmo for his orientation and H. Alencar for helpful suggestions and his co-orientation.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright M^3$  be an immersed surface. Let  $\bar{\nabla}$  denote the Levi-Civita connection on  $M^3$  and let  $\nabla$  denote the Levi-Civita connection on  $\Sigma$  for the induced metric.

Generally speaking, objects defined on  $M^3$  will be denoted by the same symbols as the corresponding objects defined on  $\Sigma$  plus a bar over the symbol.

The Riemannian metric extends to natural inner products on space of tensors and the above connections induce natural covariant derivatives on

tensor fields. For example, for  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  a geodesic frame in  $p \in \Sigma^2$  and a tensor  $\psi$  on  $\Sigma^2$ , we have

$$\nabla^2 \psi(p) = \sum_{i=1}^2 (\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} \psi)(p).$$

For more details about covariant derivatives on tensor fields see [S], sections 1 and 2.

We adopt the following convention for curvature tensor: if  $x, y, z \in T_p \Sigma$ , we define  $R_{x,y}z$  by

$$R_{x,y}z = R(X, Y)Z(p) = (\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z)(p),$$

for any local vector fields which extend the given vectors  $x, y, z$ .

The second fundamental form is defined by  $\alpha(X, Y) = (\overline{\nabla}_X Y)^\perp$  and the Weingarten operator associated is given by  $Av = -(\overline{\nabla}_v N)^T$ , where  $N$  is an unit normal field on  $\Sigma^2$ . We use the Weingarten operator to define the operators

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \overline{R}(A)x, y \rangle &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 (-\langle Ax, \overline{R}_{e_i, y} e_i \rangle - \langle Ay, \overline{R}_{e_i, x} e_i \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle Ay, x \rangle \langle N, \overline{R}_{e_i, N} e_i \rangle - 2 \langle Ae_i, \overline{R}_{e_i, x} y \rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\langle \overline{R}'x, y \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^2 \{ \langle (\overline{\nabla}_x \overline{R})_{e_i, y} e_i, N \rangle + \langle (\overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{R})_{e_i, x} y, N \rangle \},$$

where  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  is a orthonormal basis of  $T_p \Sigma$ .

With this notation we have the following results:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright M^3$  be an immersed surface with constant mean curvature  $H$ . For any  $x, y \in T_p \Sigma$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\nabla^2 A)x, y \rangle &= -|A|^2 \langle Ax, y \rangle + \langle \overline{R}(A)x, y \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \overline{R}'x, y \rangle + 2H \langle \overline{R}_{N, x} y, N \rangle + 2H \langle Ax, Ay \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

**Proof.** See Theorem 2 in [B] assumed that co-dimensional is 1. ■

We will use the Omori-Yau Maximum Principle. The proof this result can be found in [Y], Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.2** (Omori-Yau Maximum Principle). *Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature bounded from below. If  $u \in C^\infty(M)$  is bounded from above, then there exist a sequence of points  $\{p_j\} \in M$  such that*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u(p_j) = \sup_M u, \quad \|\nabla u\|(p_j) < \frac{1}{j}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta u(p_j) < \frac{1}{j}.$$

Let us recall the Gauss' equation to  $\Sigma^2$  in  $M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} R(Y, X)Z = & \langle AX, Z \rangle AY - \langle AY, Z \rangle AX + c(\langle X, Z \rangle Y - \langle Y, Z \rangle X \\ & - \langle Y, T \rangle \langle X, Z \rangle T - \langle X, T \rangle \langle Z, T \rangle Y \\ & + \langle X, T \rangle \langle Y, Z \rangle T + \langle Y, T \rangle \langle Z, T \rangle X), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $X, Y, Z$  in  $T_p \Sigma$ ,  $N$  is a unitary normal field on  $\Sigma^2$  and  $T$  is the tangential component of the parallel field  $\partial_t$ . For more details see [D].

### 3 Simons' equation in $M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$

In this section we will obtain an equation of Simons type for the traceless second fundamental form  $\phi$  and for  $S$  defined in (1).

Let  $M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $M^2(-1) = \mathbb{H}^2$  and  $M^2(1) = \mathbb{S}^2$ . In this case we have that  $\overline{R}' = 0$ , because  $M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  is locally symmetric.

In Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we will consider an immersed surface  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  with constant mean curvature  $H$  where  $A$  is the Weingarten operator associated to the second fundamental form on  $\Sigma^2$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Denoting the identity by  $I$ , we have that*

$$\overline{R}(A) = c(5\nu^2 - 1)A - 4cH\nu^2 I.$$

**Proof.** Consider a geodesic frame  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  in  $p \in \Sigma^2$  and  $T = \partial_t - \nu N$ , where  $\nu = \langle N, \partial_t \rangle$ . For  $x, y \in T_p \Sigma^2$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ax, \overline{R}_{e_i, y} e_i \rangle = & -c(\langle y, Ax \rangle - \langle y, e_i \rangle \langle Ax, e_i \rangle \\ & - \langle y, T \rangle \langle Ax, T \rangle - \langle e_i, T \rangle^2 \langle y, Ax \rangle \\ & + \langle e_i, T \rangle \langle Ax, T \rangle \langle e_i, y \rangle + \langle y, T \rangle \langle e_i, T \rangle \langle e_i, Ax \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Ax, \bar{R}_{e_i, y} e_i \rangle &= -c(2 \langle y, Ax \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle y, e_i \rangle \langle Ax, e_i \rangle \\
&\quad - 2 \langle y, T \rangle \langle Ax, T \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle e_i, T \rangle^2 \langle y, Ax \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle Ax, T \rangle \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle e_i, T \rangle \langle e_i, y \rangle + \langle y, T \rangle \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle e_i, T \rangle \langle e_i, Ax \rangle),
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Ax, \bar{R}_{e_i, y} e_i \rangle &= -c(2 \langle y, Ax \rangle - \langle Ax, y \rangle - 2 \langle y, T \rangle \langle Ax, T \rangle \\
&\quad - |T|^2 \langle y, Ax \rangle + \langle Ax, T \rangle \langle T, y \rangle + \langle y, T \rangle \langle Ax, T \rangle) \\
&= -c(\langle Ax, y \rangle - |T|^2 \langle Ax, y \rangle) = -c(1 - |T|^2) \langle Ax, y \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Ax, \bar{R}_{e_i, y} e_i \rangle = -c\nu^2 \langle Ax, y \rangle.$$

Now

$$\langle \bar{R}_{e_i, N} e_i, N \rangle = -c\{\langle e_i^*, e_i^* \rangle \langle N^*, N^* \rangle - \langle e_i^*, N^* \rangle^2\}, \quad (5)$$

where  $v^* = v - \langle v, \partial_t \rangle \partial_t$  for any  $v \in T_p(M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R})$ .

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle e_i^*, e_i^* \rangle &= \langle e_i - \langle e_i, \partial_t \rangle \partial_t, e_i - \langle e_i, \partial_t \rangle \partial_t \rangle \\
&= \langle e_i, e_i \rangle - 2 \langle e_i, \partial_t \rangle \langle e_i, \partial_t \rangle + \langle e_i, \partial_t \rangle^2 \\
&= 1 - \langle e_i, \partial_t \rangle^2,
\end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle N^*, N^* \rangle &= \langle N - \nu \partial_t, N - \nu \partial_t \rangle \\
&= \langle N, N \rangle - 2\nu \langle N, \partial_t \rangle + \nu^2 \langle \partial_t, \partial_t \rangle \\
&= 1 - \nu^2,
\end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle N^*, e_i^* \rangle &= \langle N - \nu \partial_t, e_i - \langle e_i, \partial_t \rangle \partial_t \rangle \\
\langle N, e_i \rangle - \langle e_i, \partial_t \rangle \langle N, \partial_t \rangle &= -\nu \langle \partial_t, e_i \rangle + \nu \langle e_i, \partial_t \rangle \langle \partial_t, \partial_t \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \nu < e_i, \partial_t > . \quad (8)$$

Taking (6), (7) and (8) into (5) obtain

$$\begin{aligned} < \bar{R}_{e_i, N} e_i, N > &= -c\{(1 - < e_i, \partial_t >^2)(1 - \nu^2) - \nu^2 < e_i, \partial_t >^2\} \\ &= -c\{1 - < e_i, \partial_t >^2 - \nu^2 + < e_i, \partial_t >^2 \nu^2 - < e_i, \partial_t >^2 \nu^2\} \\ &= -c\{1 - \nu^2 - < e_i, \partial_t >^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 < \bar{R}_{e_i, N} e_i, N > &= -c\{2 - 2\nu^2 - \sum_{i=1}^2 < e_i, \partial_t >^2\} \\ &= -c(2 - 2\nu^2 - |T|^2) = -c(2 - 2\nu^2 - 1 + \nu^2) = -c(1 - \nu^2). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} < Ae_i, \bar{R}_{e_i, x} y > &= -c\{< e_i, y > < Ax, e_i > - < y, x > < Ae_i, e_i > \\ &\quad - < x, T > < Ae_i, T > < e_i, y > - < e_i, T > < y, T > < e_i, Ax > \\ &\quad + < e_i, T > < Ae_i, T > < x, y > + < y, T > < x, T > < e_i, Ae_i >\}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 < Ae_i, \bar{R}_{e_i, x} y > &= -c\left\{\sum_{i=1}^2 < e_i, y > < Ax, e_i > - < y, x > \sum_{i=1}^2 < Ae_i, e_i > \right. \\ &\quad \left. - < x, T > \sum_{i=1}^2 < Ae_i, T > < e_i, y > - < y, T > \sum_{i=1}^2 < e_i, T > < e_i, Ax > \right. \\ &\quad \left. + < x, y > \sum_{i=1}^2 < e_i, T > < Ae_i, T > + < y, T > < x, T > \sum_{i=1}^2 < e_i, Ae_i >\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 < Ae_i, \bar{R}_{e_i, x} y > &= -c\{< Ax, y > - 2H < x, y > - < Ay, T > < x, T > \\ &\quad - < Ax, T > < y, T > + < AT, T > < x, y > + 2H < x, T > < y, T >\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using expression (2) we have that

$$< \bar{R}(A)x, y > = c\nu^2 < Ax, y > + c\nu^2 < x, Ay > - < Ax, y > c(1 - \nu^2) + 2c\{< Ax, y >$$

$$-2H \langle x, y \rangle - \langle Ay, T \rangle \langle x, T \rangle - \langle Ax, T \rangle \langle y, T \rangle + \langle AT, T \rangle \langle x, y \rangle \\ + 2H \langle x, T \rangle \langle y, T \rangle \},$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{R}(A)x, y \rangle &= 3c\nu^2 \langle Ax, y \rangle + c \langle Ax, y \rangle - 2c \langle x, T \rangle \langle Ay, T \rangle \\ &\quad - 2c \langle Ax, T \rangle \langle y, T \rangle + 2c \langle AT, T \rangle \langle x, y \rangle - 4cH \langle x, y \rangle \\ &\quad + 4cH \langle x, T \rangle \langle y, T \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

If  $T = 0$  at all points, then we have a slice  $M^2(c) \times \{t_0\}$ . Assume that  $T \neq 0$  and choose an oriented positive orthogonal frame  $\{T, E\}$ , where  $T$  is non zero. Then evaluating (9) in the frame  $\{T, E\}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{R}(A)T, T \rangle &= 3c\nu^2 \langle AT, T \rangle + c \langle AT, T \rangle - 2c \langle AT, T \rangle |T|^2 - 4cH|T|^2(1-|T|^2) \\ &= 3c\nu^2 \langle AT, T \rangle + c \langle AT, T \rangle - 2c \langle AT, T \rangle (1-\nu^2) - 4cH|T|^2\nu^2 \\ &= 5c\nu^2 \langle AT, T \rangle - c \langle AT, T \rangle - 4cH\nu^2 \langle T, T \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{R}(A)T, E \rangle &= 3c\nu^2 \langle AT, E \rangle + c \langle AT, E \rangle - 2c \langle AT, E \rangle \\ &= 5c\nu^2 \langle AT, T \rangle - c \langle AT, T \rangle = \langle \bar{R}(A)E, T \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{R}(A)E, E \rangle &= 3c\nu^2 \langle AE, E \rangle + c \langle AE, E \rangle + 2c \langle AT, T \rangle |E|^2 - 4cH|E|^2 \\ &= 3c\nu^2 \langle AE, E \rangle + c \langle AE, E \rangle + 2c(2H|E|^2 - \langle AE, E \rangle)|E|^2 - 4cH|E|^2 \\ &= 3c\nu^2 \langle AE, E \rangle + c \langle AE, E \rangle - 4cH|E|^2(1-|E|^2) - 2c \langle AE, E \rangle |E|^2 \\ &= 3c\nu^2 \langle AE, E \rangle + c \langle AE, E \rangle - 2c \langle AE, E \rangle (1-\nu^2) - 4cH\nu^2 \langle E, E \rangle \\ &= 5c\nu^2 \langle AE, E \rangle - c \langle AE, E \rangle - 4cH\nu^2 \langle E, E \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\overline{R}(A) = c(5\nu^2 - 1)A - 4cH\nu^2 I,$$

where  $T$  is non zero. Using continuity it can be extended to points where  $T = 0$ . ■

**Lemma 3.2.**  $\langle \overline{R}_{N,x}y, N \rangle = -c\{\langle x, T \rangle \langle y, T \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle \langle T, T \rangle\}.$

**Proof.** We observe that

$$\langle x^*, y^* \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle - \langle x, T \rangle \langle y, T \rangle,$$

$$\langle x^*, N^* \rangle = \nu \langle x, T \rangle$$

and

$$\langle N^*, N^* \rangle = 1 - \nu^2,$$

where we have used  $v^* = v - \langle v, \partial_t \rangle \partial_t$  for any  $v \in T_p(M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R})$ .

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \overline{R}_{N,x}y, N \rangle &= -c\{\langle N^*, x^* \rangle \langle N^*, y^* \rangle - \langle N^*, N^* \rangle \langle x^*, y^* \rangle\} \\ &= -c\{(\nu \langle x, T \rangle)(\nu \langle y, T \rangle) - (\langle x, y \rangle - \langle x, T \rangle \langle y, T \rangle) \langle T, T \rangle\} \\ &= -c\{\nu^2 \langle x, T \rangle \langle y, T \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle \langle T, T \rangle + \langle x, T \rangle \langle y, T \rangle \\ &\quad - \nu^2 \langle x, T \rangle \langle y, T \rangle\} = -c\{\langle x, T \rangle \langle y, T \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle \langle T, T \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. ■

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  be an immersed surface with constant mean curvature  $H$  where  $A$  is the Weingarten operator associated to the second fundamental form on  $\Sigma^2$ . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\nabla^2 A)x, y \rangle &= -|A|^2 \langle Ax, y \rangle + c(5\nu^2 - 1) \langle Ax, y \rangle - 4cH\nu^2 \langle x, y \rangle \\ &\quad - 2cH\{\langle x, T \rangle \langle y, T \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle \langle T, T \rangle\} + 2H \langle Ax, Ay \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Consider equation (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\nabla^2 A)x, y \rangle &= -|A|^2 \langle Ax, y \rangle + \langle \overline{R}(A)x, y \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \overline{R}'x, y \rangle + 2H \langle \overline{R}_{N,x}y, N \rangle + 2H \langle Ax, Ay \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we use Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\nabla^2 A)x, y \rangle &= -|A|^2 \langle Ax, y \rangle + c(5\nu^2 - 1) \langle Ax, y \rangle - 4cH\nu^2 \langle x, y \rangle \\ &\quad - 2Hc\{\langle x, T \rangle \langle y, T \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle \langle T, T \rangle\} + 2H \langle Ax, Ay \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. ■

Consider two tensors  $S, W$  on  $\Sigma^2$ . We define the inner product  $\langle S, W \rangle$  in  $p \in \Sigma^2$  as

$$\langle S, W \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Se_i, We_i \rangle,$$

where  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $T_p\Sigma$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  be an immersed surface with constant mean curvature where  $A$  is the Weingarten operator associated to the second fundamental form on  $\Sigma^2$ . Then,*

$$(a) \quad \langle \nabla^2 A, I \rangle = 0.$$

$$(b) \quad \langle \nabla^2 A, A \rangle = -|A|^4 + c(5\nu^2 - 1)|A|^2 - 8cH^2\nu^2 - 2cH \langle AT, T \rangle + 4cH^2|T|^2 + 2H\text{tr}(A^3).$$

**Proof.** Consider  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  an orthonormal basis of  $T_p\Sigma$ . We use the definition of the inner product between tensors and the expression in Corollary 3.1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla^2 A, A \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle (\nabla^2 A)e_i, Ae_i \rangle = -|A|^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Ae_i, Ae_i \rangle + \\ &\quad c(5\nu^2 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Ae_i, Ae_i \rangle - 4cH\nu^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle - 2cH \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle AT, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, T \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \langle T, T \rangle \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle \right\} + 2H \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle A^2 e_i, Ae_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle \nabla^2 A, A \rangle = -|A|^4 + c(5\nu^2 - 1)|A|^2 - 8cH^2\nu^2 - 2cH \langle AT, T \rangle + 4cH^2|T|^2 + 2H\text{tr}(A^3).$$

Using the definition of the inner product and Corollary 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \nabla^2 A, I \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle (\nabla^2 A)e_i, e_i \rangle = -|A|^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle + \\
c(5\nu^2 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle &- 8cH\nu^2 - 2cH \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle T, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, T \rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \langle T, T \rangle \right\} + 2H \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle A^2 e_i, e_i \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \nabla^2 A, I \rangle &= -2H|A|^2 + c(5\nu^2 - 1)2H - 8cH\nu^2 + 2cH \langle T, T \rangle + 2H|A|^2 \\
&= -2H|A|^2 + c(5\nu^2 - 1)2H - 8cH\nu^2 + 2cH(1 - \nu^2) + 2H|A|^2 \\
&= 10cH\nu^2 - 2cH - 8cH\nu^2 + 2cH - 2cH\nu^2 = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that  $\nu^2 + |T|^2 = 1$ .

■

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\Sigma \looparrowright M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  be an immersed surface with constant mean curvature  $H$  and  $\phi$  the traceless second fundamental form, then*

- (a)  $|\phi|^2 = |A|^2 - 2H^2$ .
- (b)  $\nabla \phi = \nabla A$ .
- (c)  $\text{tr} A^3 = 3H|\phi|^2 + 2H^3$ .

**Proof.** The proof of the item (a) is:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi|^2 &= \langle \phi, \phi \rangle = \langle A - HI, A - HI \rangle = \langle A, A \rangle - 2H \langle A, I \rangle + H^2 \langle I, I \rangle \\
&= |A|^2 - 4H^2 + 2H^2 = |A|^2 - 2H^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle A, I \rangle = 2H$  and  $\langle I, I \rangle = 2$ .

To prove the item (b) we consider tangent fields  $X, Y$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_X \phi)Y &= (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_X(HI))Y = (\nabla_X A)Y - \nabla_X HI(Y) + H\nabla_X Y \\
&= (\nabla_X A)Y - H\nabla_X Y - X(H)Y + H\nabla_X Y = (\nabla_X A)Y,
\end{aligned}$$

because  $H$  is constant.

Next, the proof to the item(c) is:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(A^3) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle A^3 e_i, e_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle (\phi + HI)^3 e_i, e_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle (\phi^3 + 3H\phi^2 + 3H^2\phi + H^3I) e_i, e_i \rangle = 3H|\phi|^2 + 2H^3, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\text{tr}\phi = \text{tr}\phi^3 = 0$ .

■

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $\Sigma \looparrowright M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  be an immersed surface with constant mean curvature  $H$  and  $\phi$  the traceless second fundamental form. Then*

$$\langle \nabla^2 \phi, \phi \rangle = -|\phi|^4 + (2H^2 + 5c\nu^2 - c)|\phi|^2 - 2cH \langle \phi T, T \rangle.$$

**Proof.** We use the Proposition 3.1 and obtain

$$\langle \nabla^2 \phi, \phi \rangle = \langle \nabla^2 A, A - HI \rangle = \langle \nabla^2 A, A \rangle - H \langle \nabla^2 A, I \rangle.$$

Now, we use the Lemma 3.3 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla^2 \phi, \phi \rangle &= -|A|^4 + c(5\nu^2 - 1)|A|^2 - 8cH^2\nu^2 + 2cH \langle AT, T \rangle \\ &\quad + 4cH^2|T|^2 + 2H\text{tr}(A^3). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla^2 \phi, \phi \rangle &= -(|\phi|^2 + 2H^2)^2 + c(5\nu^2 - 1)(|\phi|^2 + 2H^2) - 8cH^2\nu^2 \\ &\quad - 2cH \langle (\phi + HI)T, T \rangle + 4cH^2|T|^2 + 2H(3H|\phi|^2 + 2H^3), \end{aligned}$$

which brings us to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla^2 \phi, \phi \rangle &= -|\phi|^4 - 4H^2|\phi|^2 - 4H^4 + c(5\nu^2 - 1)|\phi|^2 + 2c(5\nu^2 - 1)H^2 - 8cH^2\nu^2 \\ &\quad - 2cH \langle \phi T, T \rangle - 2cH^2|T|^2 + 4cH^2|T|^2 + 6H^2|\phi|^2 + 4H^4. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\langle \nabla^2 \phi, \phi \rangle = -|\phi|^4 + 2H^2|\phi|^2 + c(5\nu^2 - 1)|\phi|^2 - 2cH \langle \phi T, T \rangle.$$

■

Now we shall obtain an equation of Simons' type for the traceless second fundamental form  $\phi$ :

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  be an immersed surface with constant mean curvature  $H$  and  $\phi$  is traceless second fundamental form. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\phi|^2 = |\nabla\phi|^2 - |\phi|^4 + (2H^2 + 5c\nu^2 - c)|\phi|^2 - 2cH \langle \phi T, T \rangle.$$

**Proof.** We use that  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\phi|^2 = |\nabla\phi|^2 + \langle \nabla^2\phi, \phi \rangle$  and Corollary 3.2. ■

Now we evaluate the Laplacian of  $|S|^2$  where

$$S = 2HA - c \langle T, \cdot \rangle T + \frac{c}{2}(1 - \nu^2)I - 2H^2I. \quad (10)$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  be an immersed surface with constant mean curvature and  $S$  defined in (10). Then*

$$(\nabla_X S)Y = (\nabla_Y S)X,$$

for all tangent fields  $X, Y$  on  $\Sigma^2$ .

**Proof.** We consider  $(u, v)$  an isothermal parameter to a surface  $\Sigma^2$ . Now, we consider the complex parametric to riemannian metric,  $z = u + iv$ . Let us set

$$T_S(X, Y) := (\nabla_X S)Y - (\nabla_Y S)X = \nabla_X(SY) - \nabla_Y(SX) - S[X, Y].$$

We will prove that  $T_S$  is null. For this, consider

$$\partial_z = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_u - i\partial_v) \text{ and } \partial_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_u + i\partial_v).$$

Compute,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_S(\partial_z, \partial_{\bar{z}}), \partial_z \rangle &= \partial_z \langle S\partial_{\bar{z}}, \partial_z \rangle - \langle S\partial_{\bar{z}}, \nabla_{\partial_z} \partial_z \rangle \\ &\quad - \partial_{\bar{z}} \langle S\partial_z, \partial_z \rangle + \langle S\partial_z, \nabla_{\partial_{\bar{z}}} \partial_z \rangle \\ &= -Q_{\bar{z}}^{(2,0)} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because  $Q^{(2,0)}$  is holomorphic and we used that  $\nabla_{\partial_z} \partial_{\bar{z}} = 0$ ,  $\nabla_{\partial_z} \partial_z = \frac{\lambda_{\bar{z}}}{\lambda} \partial_z$ ,  $\langle S\partial_z, \partial_z \rangle = Q^{(2,0)}$  and  $\langle S\partial_z, \partial_{\bar{z}} \rangle = 0$ , where  $\lambda = \langle \partial_z, \partial_{\bar{z}} \rangle$ .

Next, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_S(\partial_z, \partial_{\bar{z}}), \partial_{\bar{z}} \rangle &= \partial_{\bar{z}} \langle \partial_{\bar{z}}, S\partial_z \rangle - \langle S\partial_z, \nabla_{\partial_{\bar{z}}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \rangle \\
&= -\partial_z \langle S\partial_{\bar{z}}, \partial_{\bar{z}} \rangle + \langle S\partial_{\bar{z}}, \nabla_{\partial_z} \partial_{\bar{z}} \rangle \\
&= -\overline{Q_z^{(2,0)}} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use that  $\nabla_{\partial_{\bar{z}}} \partial_{\bar{z}} = \frac{\lambda_{\bar{z}}}{\lambda} \partial_{\bar{z}}$  and  $\overline{Q_z^{(2,0)}} = \overline{Q_{\bar{z}}^{(2,0)}}$ . It follows that  $T_S = 0$ . ■

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $S$  be a symmetric operator satisfying Codazzi's equation and  $\text{tr}(S) = 0$ , then*

$$\langle (\nabla^2 S)x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^2 \{ -\langle Sy, R_{e_i, x} e_i \rangle - \langle Se_i, R_{e_i, x} y \rangle \}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $T_p \Sigma$ .

**Proof.** Let us consider a geodesic frame  $\{E_1, E_2\}$  in  $p \in \Sigma^2$  which extend the basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $X, Y$  local vector parallel fields which extend the given vectors  $x, y$ . Compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla^2 S)X &= \sum_{i=1}^2 (\nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} S)X = \sum_{i=1}^2 \nabla_{E_i} ((\nabla_{E_i} S)X) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^2 \nabla_{E_i} ((\nabla_X S)E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^2 (\nabla_{E_i} \nabla_X S)E_i = \sum_{i=1}^2 (\nabla_X \nabla_{E_i} S)E_i + \sum_{i=1}^2 (R(E_i, X)S)E_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^2 \nabla_X ((\nabla_{E_i} S)E_i) + \sum_{i=1}^2 (R(E_i, X)S)E_i \\
&= \nabla_X \left( \sum_{i=1}^2 (\nabla_{E_i} S)E_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^2 (R(E_i, X)S)E_i,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that  $S$  satisfying Codazzi's equation.

Then,

$$\langle (\nabla^2 S)x, y \rangle = \langle \nabla_X \left( \sum_{i=1}^2 (\nabla_{E_i} S)E_i \right), Y \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle (R(E_i, X)S)E_i, Y \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= X \left( \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle (\nabla_{E_i} S) E_i, Y \rangle \right) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle (R(E_i, X) S) E_i, Y \rangle \\
&= X(\text{tr}(\nabla_Y S)) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle (R(E_i, X) S) E_i, Y \rangle \\
&= XY(\text{tr}(S)) + \sum_{i=1}^2 (\langle (R(E_i, X)(S E_i), Y \rangle - \langle S(R(E_i, X) E_i), Y \rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

By using that  $\text{tr} S = 0$ , and computing the above at the point  $p$ , we obtain

$$\langle (\nabla^S) x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^2 (-\langle (R(e_i, x) y, S e_i \rangle - \langle R(e_i, x) e_i, S y \rangle).$$

■

Let us evaluate each summand in the expression (11).

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $S$  be an operator as in Lemma 3.4. Then,*

$$i) \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle S y, R_{e_i, x} e_i \rangle = -c\nu^2 \langle S x, y \rangle - 2H \langle A x, S y \rangle + \langle A^2 x, S y \rangle.$$

and

$$ii) \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle S e_i, R_{e_i, x} y \rangle = -c\nu^2 \langle S x, y \rangle - \langle A y, S A x \rangle + \langle A x, y \rangle \text{tr}(AS).$$

**Proof.** Consider  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  an orthonormal basis of  $T_p \Sigma$ . Using the Gauss' equation (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle S y, R_{e_i, x} e_i \rangle &= -c \{ \langle x, S y \rangle - \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle S y, e_i \rangle - \langle x, T \rangle \langle S y, T \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle e_i, T \rangle^2 \langle x, S y \rangle + \langle e_i, T \rangle \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle S y, T \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle x, T \rangle \langle e_i, T \rangle \langle e_i, S y \rangle \} - \langle A e_i, e_i \rangle \langle A x, S y \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle A x, e_i \rangle \langle A e_i, S y \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \langle S y, R_{e_i, x} e_i \rangle = -c \{ 2 \langle x, S y \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle S y, e_i \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2 \langle x, T \rangle \langle Sy, T \rangle - \langle x, Sy \rangle \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle e_i, T \rangle^2 \\
& + \langle Sy, T \rangle \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle e_i, T \rangle \langle x, e_i \rangle + \langle x, T \rangle \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle e_i, T \rangle \langle e_i, Sy \rangle \} \\
& - \langle Ax, Sy \rangle \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Ax, e_i \rangle \langle Ae_i, Sy \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Sy, R_{e_i, x} e_i \rangle &= -c \{ 2 \langle x, Sy \rangle - \langle Sx, y \rangle - 2 \langle x, T \rangle \langle Sy, T \rangle \\
& - \langle x, Sy \rangle |T|^2 + \langle Sy, T \rangle \langle x, T \rangle + \langle x, T \rangle \langle T, Sy \rangle \} \\
& - \langle Ax, Sy \rangle 2H + \langle Ax, ASy \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Sy, R_{e_i, x} e_i \rangle = -c(1-|T|^2) \langle Sx, y \rangle - 2H \langle Ax, Sy \rangle + \langle A^2 x, Sy \rangle,$$

which shows the validity of (i). Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle Se_i, R_{e_i, x} y \rangle &= -c \{ \langle e_i, y \rangle \langle Se_i, x \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle \langle Se_i, e_i \rangle \\
& - \langle x, T \rangle \langle Se_i, T \rangle \langle e_i, y \rangle - \langle e_i, T \rangle \langle y, T \rangle \langle x, Se_i \rangle \\
& + \langle e_i, T \rangle \langle x, y \rangle \langle Se_i, T \rangle + \langle x, T \rangle \langle y, T \rangle \langle e_i, Se_i \rangle \} \\
& - \langle Ae_i, y \rangle \langle Ax, Se_i \rangle + \langle Ax, y \rangle \langle Ae_i, Se_i \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Se_i, R_{e_i, x} y \rangle &= -c \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle e_i, y \rangle \langle Se_i, x \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Se_i, e_i \rangle \right. \\
& - \langle x, T \rangle \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Se_i, T \rangle \langle e_i, y \rangle - \langle y, T \rangle \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle e_i, T \rangle \langle x, Se_i \rangle \\
& \left. + \langle x, y \rangle \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle e_i, T \rangle \langle Se_i, T \rangle + \langle x, T \rangle \langle y, T \rangle \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle e_i, Se_i \rangle \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Ae_i, y \rangle \langle Ax, Se_i \rangle + \langle Ax, y \rangle \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Ae_i, Se_i \rangle.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Se_i, R_{e_i, x} y \rangle &= -c \{ \langle Sx, y \rangle - \langle x, T \rangle \langle Sy, T \rangle - \langle y, T \rangle \langle Sx, T \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle ST, T \rangle \langle x, y \rangle \} - \langle Ay, SAx \rangle + \langle Ax, y \rangle \operatorname{tr}(AS), \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $\operatorname{tr} S = 0$ .

Using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle x, T \rangle \langle Sy, T \rangle - \langle y, T \rangle \langle Sx, T \rangle + \langle ST, T \rangle \langle x, y \rangle \\ = -(1 - \nu^2) \langle Sx, y \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we find that

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \langle Se_i, R_{e_i, x} y \rangle = -c\nu^2 \langle Sx, y \rangle - \langle Ay, SAx \rangle + \langle Ax, y \rangle \operatorname{tr}(AS),$$

which shows (ii). ■

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  be an immersed surface with constant mean curvature  $H$  and  $S$  the operator satisfying Codazzi's equation with  $\operatorname{tr} S = 0$ . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\nabla^2 S)x, y \rangle &= 2c\nu^2 \langle Sx, y \rangle + 2H \langle Ax, Sy \rangle - \langle A^2 x, Sy \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle Ay, SAx \rangle - \langle Ax, y \rangle \operatorname{tr}(AS). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** We use the expressions of Lemma 3.5 in the equation (11) obtained in Lemma 3.4. ■

Next we obtain an equation of Simons' type for the operator  $S$  as defined in (10).

**Theorem 3.3** (Theorem 1.2 in Introduction). *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  be an immersed surface with non zero constant mean curvature  $H$  and  $S$  as defined in (10). Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta |S|^2 &= |\nabla S|^2 - |S|^4 + |S|^2 \left( \frac{5c\nu^2}{2} - \frac{c}{2} + 2H^2 - \frac{c}{H} \langle ST, T \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + c|ST|^2 - \frac{1}{4H^2} \langle ST, T \rangle^2. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** We know that  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta|S|^2 = |\nabla S|^2 + \langle \nabla^2 S, S \rangle$ . Furthermore, using Corollary 3.3, we obtain

$$\langle \nabla^2 S, S \rangle = 2c\nu^2|S|^2 + 2H\text{tr}(AS^2) - [\text{tr}(AS)]^2.$$

Now, we need to compute  $\text{tr}(AS^2)$  and  $\text{tr}(AS)$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(AS^2) &= \text{tr}\{S^2(S + \frac{c}{2H}\langle T, \cdot \rangle T - \frac{c}{4H}(1 - \nu^2)I + HI)\} \\ &= \text{tr}S^3 + \frac{c}{2H}\text{tr}(\langle T, S^2 \cdot \rangle T) - \left(\frac{c}{4H}(1 - \nu^2) - H\right)\text{tr}S^2 \\ &= 0 + \frac{c}{2H}|ST|^2 - \left(\frac{c}{4H}(1 - \nu^2) - H\right)|S|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(AS) &= \text{tr}\{S(S + \frac{c}{2H}\langle T, \cdot \rangle T - \frac{c}{4H}(1 - \nu^2)I - HI)\} \\ &= \text{tr}S^2 + \frac{c}{2H}\text{tr}(\langle T, S \cdot \rangle T) - \left(\frac{c}{4H}(1 - \nu^2) - H\right)\text{tr}S \\ &= |S|^2 + \frac{c}{2H}\langle ST, T \rangle - 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $\text{tr}S = \text{tr}S^3 = 0$ , that

$$\text{tr}(\langle T, S \cdot \rangle T) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle T, Se_i \rangle \langle T, e_i \rangle = \langle ST, T \rangle$$

and that

$$\text{tr}(\langle T, S^2 \cdot \rangle T) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle T, S^2 e_i \rangle \langle T, e_i \rangle = \langle S^2 T, T \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Delta|S|^2 &= |\nabla S|^2 + 2c\nu^2|S|^2 + 2H\left(\frac{c}{2H}|ST|^2 - \left(\frac{c}{4H}(1 - \nu^2) - H\right)|S|^2\right) \\ &\quad - \left(|S|^2 + \frac{c}{2H}\langle ST, T \rangle\right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

so that,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|S|^2 = |\nabla S|^2 + 2c\nu^2|S|^2 + c|ST|^2 - \left(\frac{c}{2}(1 - \nu^2) - 2H^2\right)|S|^2$$

$$-|S|^4 - \frac{c}{H} \langle ST, T \rangle = |S|^2 - \frac{1}{4H^2} \langle ST, T \rangle^2.$$

Rearranging terms, we obtain finally

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta |S|^2 &= |\nabla S|^2 - |S|^4 + |S|^2 \left( \frac{5c\nu^2}{2} - \frac{c}{2} + 2H^2 - \frac{c}{H} \langle ST, T \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + c|ST|^2 - \frac{1}{4H^2} \langle ST, T \rangle^2. \end{aligned}$$

■

## 4 Applications

In this section, we will apply the results in section 3 together with the Omori-Yau's Theorem and classify some surfaces in  $M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  be an oriented complete non-compact immersed minimal surface. Assume that*

$$\sup_{\Sigma} (|A|^2 + 5\nu^2) < 1.$$

*Then  $\Sigma^2$  is a vertical plane  $\gamma \times \mathbb{R}$  for some geodesic  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{H}^2$ .*

**Proof.** Using Theorem 3.1 with  $H = 0$  and  $c = -1$ , so

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta |A|^2 = |\nabla A|^2 - |A|^4 + (1 - 5\nu^2)|A|^2 \geq |A|^2 (-|A|^2 + 1 - 5\nu^2).$$

Let  $\frac{d}{2} := -\sup_{\Sigma} (|A|^2 + 5\nu^2) + 1 > 0$ . Therefore,

$$\Delta |A|^2 \geq d \cdot |A|^2. \tag{12}$$

Using Gauss' equation (4) in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$K_{\Sigma} = K_{ext} - \nu^2 = -\frac{|A|^2 + 5\nu^2}{2} + \frac{3\nu^2}{2} \geq -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Now we can use Theorem 2.2 with  $u = |A|^2$ , i.e, there exist  $\{p_j\}$  in  $\Sigma^2$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |A|^2(p_j) = \sup_{\Sigma} |A|^2 \text{ and } \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Delta |A|^2(p_j) \leq 0.$$

Therefore we use inequality (12) to conclude that  $\sup_{\Sigma} |A|^2 = 0$ , i.e,  $\Sigma^2$  is totally geodesic with  $|\nu| < \sqrt{0.2}$ .

Since  $\Sigma^2$  is totally geodesic and  $|\nu| < \sqrt{0.2}$  it cannot be a slice, it must be a vertical plane  $\gamma \times \mathbb{R}$  for some geodesic  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{H}^2$ .

■

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  be a complete immersed surface with constant mean curvature  $H$ . Assume that*

$$\sup_{\Sigma} (|\phi|^2 + 5\nu^2) < 2H^2 + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \phi T, T \rangle \geq 0.$$

*Then  $\Sigma^2$  is a vertical plane  $\gamma \times \mathbb{R}$  for some geodesic  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{H}^2$ .*

**Proof.** We consider the expression in Theorem 3.1 with  $c = -1$ :

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta |\phi|^2 = |\nabla \phi|^2 - |\phi|^4 + (2H^2 + 1 - 5\nu^2) |\phi|^2 + 2H \langle \phi T, T \rangle.$$

As  $\langle \phi T, T \rangle \geq 0$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta |\phi|^2 \geq -|\phi|^4 + (2H^2 + 1 - 5\nu^2) |\phi|^2.$$

Consider  $\frac{d}{2} := 2H^2 + 1 - \sup_{\Sigma} (|\phi|^2 + 5\nu^2) > 0$ . Then

$$\Delta |\phi|^2 \geq 2|\phi|^2(2H^2 + 1 - 5\nu^2 - |\phi|^2) \geq d|\phi|^2,$$

which implies,

$$\Delta |\phi|^2 \geq d|\phi|^2. \tag{13}$$

Using Gauss' equation (4) in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$K_{\Sigma} = K_{ext} - \nu^2 = -\frac{|\phi|^2 + 5\nu^2 - 2H^2}{2} + \frac{3\nu^2}{2} \geq -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Now we can use Theorem 2.2 with  $u = |\phi|^2$ , i.e, there exist  $\{p_j\}$  in  $\Sigma^2$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\phi|^2(p_j) = \sup_{\Sigma} |\phi|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Delta |\phi|^2(p_j) \leq 0.$$

Furthermore, we use inequality (13) to conclude that  $\sup_{\Sigma} |\phi|^2 = 0$ , i.e,  $\Sigma^2$  is totally umbilical. Next, we use the results obtained in [ST] in section 4 to conclude that  $\Sigma^2$  is totally geodesic, where we have used that if  $\Sigma^2$  is totally umbilical with constant mean curvature in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  then  $\Sigma^2$  is totally geodesic.

Since  $\Sigma^2$  is totally geodesic and  $|\nu| < \sqrt{0.2}$  it must be a vertical plane  $\gamma \times \mathbb{R}$  for some geodesic  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{H}^2$ . This concludes the proof. ■

We need the following result:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright M^2(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  be a complete immersed surface with non zero constant mean curvature  $H$ . Then  $|S| = 0$  if and only if  $\Sigma^2$  is an Abresch-Rosenberg surface.*

**Proof.** We consider  $(u, v)$  an isothermal parameter to a surface  $\Sigma^2$ . Now, we consider the complex parameter to riemannian metric,  $z = u + iv$  and  $(2,0)$ -part of the Abresch-Rosenberg differential

$$Q(u, v) = 2H \langle Au, v \rangle - c \langle u, T \rangle \langle v, T \rangle.$$

We can rewrite  $Q$  as

$$Q(u, v) = \langle Su, v \rangle - \frac{c}{2}(1 - \nu^2) \langle u, v \rangle + 2H^2 \langle u, v \rangle.$$

Next we evaluate  $Q(\partial_z, \partial_z)$  and use that  $\langle \partial_z, \partial_z \rangle = 0$ :

$$Q(\partial_z, \partial_z) = \langle S\partial_z, \partial_z \rangle = \left( \frac{\tilde{e} - \tilde{g}}{4} \right) - i\frac{\tilde{f}}{2},$$

where  $\tilde{e} = \langle S\partial_u, \partial_u \rangle = -\langle S\partial_v, \partial_v \rangle = -\tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{f} = \langle S\partial_u, \partial_v \rangle$ . Therefore

$$|Q^{(2,0)}| = \sqrt{\left( \frac{\tilde{e} - \tilde{g}}{4} \right)^2 + \frac{\tilde{f}^2}{4}} = \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{e}^2}{4} + \frac{\tilde{f}^2}{4}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}|S|.$$

This concludes the proof. ■

Let us consider the polynomial  $p_H(t) = -t^2 - \frac{1}{H}t + \left( \frac{4H^2 - 1}{2} \right)$ . When  $H$  is greater than one half then there is a positive root for  $p_H$ . Let  $L_H$  be the positive root. One has:

**Theorem 4.3** (Theorem 1.3 in Introduction). *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  be a complete immersed surface with constant mean curvature  $H$  greater than one half. Assume that*

$$\sup_{\Sigma} |S| < L_H.$$

*Then  $\Sigma^2 = S_H^2$ , i.e.,  $\Sigma^2$  is a Hsiang-Pedrosa sphere.*

**Proof.** Consider the expression in Theorem 3.3 with  $c = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Delta|S|^2 &= |\nabla S|^2 - |S|^4 + |S|^2 \left( \frac{5\nu^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + 2H^2 - \frac{1}{H} \langle ST, T \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + |ST|^2 - \frac{1}{4H^2} \langle ST, T \rangle^2. \end{aligned}$$

As  $|\langle ST, T \rangle| \leq |ST| \leq |S|$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|S|^2 \geq -|S|^4 + |S|^2 \left( \frac{4H^2 - 1}{2} - \frac{1}{H}|S| \right) + \left( \frac{4H^2 - 1}{4H^2} \right) \langle ST, T \rangle^2,$$

hence,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|S|^2 \geq |S|^2 \left( \frac{4H^2 - 1}{2} - \frac{1}{H}|S| - |S|^2 \right) + \frac{5}{2}\nu^2|S|^2, \quad (14)$$

because  $H > \frac{1}{2}$ .

Observe that

$$\frac{4H^2 - 1}{2} - \frac{1}{H}|S| - |S|^2 \geq p_H(\sup_{\Sigma}|S|) =: \frac{d}{2} > 0$$

and  $\nu^2|S|^2 \geq 0$ . Therefore

$$\Delta|S|^2 \geq d|S|^2. \quad (15)$$

Now we estimate  $|S|$ .

$$|S| \geq 2H|A| - |\langle T, \cdot \rangle T| - (1 - \nu^2) - 4H^2 \geq 2H|A| - 2(1 - \nu^2) - 4H^2,$$

that is,

$$L_H \geq |S| \geq 2H|A| - 2 - 4H^2.$$

Using Gauss' equation (4) in  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$K_{\Sigma} = K_{ext} + \nu^2 = -\frac{|A|^2}{2} + 2H^2 + \nu^2 \geq -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{L_H + 2 + 4H^2}{2H} \right)^2.$$

Now we can use Theorem 2.2 with  $u = |S|^2$ , i.e, there exists a  $\{p_j\}$  in  $\Sigma^2$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |S|^2(p_j) = \sup_{\Sigma} |S|^2 \text{ and } \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Delta|S|^2(p_j) \leq 0.$$

We then use inequality (15) to conclude that  $\sup_{\Sigma} |S|^2 = 0$ , i.e,  $|S| = 0$  in  $\Sigma^2$ . Using Lemma 4.1 we conclude the proof. ■

**Theorem 4.4.** *There exists no  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  complete immersed surface with constant mean curvature greater than one half such that  $|S| = L_H$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that there exist  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  satisfying condition of the theorem. Using the expression (14)

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|S|^2 \geq |S|^2 \left( \frac{4H^2 - 1}{2} - \frac{1}{H}|S| - |S|^2 \right) + \frac{5}{2}\nu^2|S|^2,$$

with  $|S| = L_H$  we have:

$$0 \geq 0 + \frac{5}{2}\nu^2 L_H^2 \geq 0.$$

Hence  $\nu = 0$ , i.e,  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  is a cylinder  $\gamma \times \mathbb{R}$  for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^2$  with constant curvature  $2H$ .

On the other hand, for the cylinder  $\gamma \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^2$  with constant curvature  $2H$ , we have that

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 2H^2 + \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -2H^2 - \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

As  $|S| = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(4H^2 + 1) > L_H$  we have a contradiction.

■

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  be a closed immersed surface with constant mean curvature  $H$  greater than one half. Assume that*

$$|S| \leq L_H.$$

*Then  $\Sigma^2 = S_H^2$ , i.e,  $\Sigma^2$  is a Hsiang-Pedrosa sphere.*

**Proof.** Let us consider the expression (14)

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|S|^2 \geq |S|^2 \left( \frac{4H^2 - 1}{2} - \frac{1}{H}|S| - |S|^2 \right) + \frac{5}{2}\nu^2|S|^2.$$

As  $|S| \leq L_H$ , we have  $\frac{4H^2 - 1}{2} - \frac{1}{H}|S| - |S|^2 \geq 0$ . Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|S|^2 \geq \frac{5}{2}\nu^2|S|^2.$$

Integrating and using Stokes' Theorem we have

$$0 \geq \frac{5}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \nu^2 |S|^2 d\Sigma \geq 0.$$

It follows that  $|S| \cdot \nu = 0$ . If  $\nu = 0$  then we have a cylinder, but this is not possible because  $\Sigma^2$  is closed. Therefore  $|S| = 0$ . Using Lemma 4.1 we conclude the proof. ■

Let us consider the polynomial  $q_H(t) = -t^2 - \frac{1}{H}t + \left( \frac{8H^4 - 12H^2 - 1}{4H^2} \right)$ . When  $H$  is greater than a positive root of the polynomial  $r(x) = 8x^4 - 12x^2 - 1$ , i.e.,  $H$  greater than  $\sqrt{\frac{12 + \sqrt{176}}{16}}$ , then there is a positive root for  $q_H$ . Let  $M_H$  be the positive root.

**Theorem 4.6** (Theorem 1.4 in Introduction). *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  be a complete immersed surface with constant mean curvature  $H$  greater than  $\sqrt{\frac{12 + \sqrt{176}}{16}} \approx 1.25664$ . Assume that*

$$\sup_{\Sigma} |S| < M_H.$$

*Then  $\Sigma^2$  is an Abresch-Rosenberg surface.*

**Proof.** Consider the expression in Theorem 3.3 with  $c = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta |S|^2 &= |\nabla S|^2 - |S|^4 + |S|^2 \left( -\frac{5\nu^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + 2H^2 + \frac{1}{H} \langle ST, T \rangle \right) \\ &\quad - |ST|^2 - \frac{1}{4H^2} \langle ST, T \rangle^2. \end{aligned}$$

As  $|\langle ST, T \rangle| \leq |ST| \leq |S|$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta |S|^2 \geq -|S|^4 + |S|^2 \left( \frac{4H^2 + 1 - 5\nu^2}{2} - \frac{1}{H} |S| \right) - \left( \frac{4H^2 + 1}{4H^2} \right) |S|^2,$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta |S|^2 \geq |S|^2 \left( \frac{4H^2 - 4 + 5 - 5\nu^2}{2} - \frac{1}{H} |S| - \frac{4H^2 + 1}{4H^2} - |S|^2 \right).$$

This may be rewritten as,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|S|^2 \geq |S|^2 \left( \frac{8H^4 - 12H^2 - 1}{4H^2} - \frac{1}{H}|S| - |S|^2 \right) + \frac{5}{2}(1 - \nu^2)|S|^2. \quad (16)$$

Observe that

$$\frac{8H^4 - 12H^2 - 1}{4H^2} - \frac{1}{H}|S| - |S|^2 \geq q_H(\sup_{\Sigma}|S|) =: \frac{d}{2} > 0$$

and  $(1 - \nu^2)|S|^2 \geq 0$ . Therefore,

$$\Delta|S|^2 \geq d|S|^2. \quad (17)$$

Next we estimate  $|S|$ .

$$|S| \geq 2H|A| - |<T, \cdot>T| - (1 - \nu^2) - 4H^2 \geq 2H|A| - 2(1 - \nu^2) - 4H^2,$$

i.e.,

$$M_H \geq |S| \geq 2H|A| - 2 - 4H^2.$$

Using Gauss' equation (4) in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$K_{\Sigma} = K_{ext} - \nu^2 = -\frac{|A|^2}{2} + 2H^2 - \nu^2 \geq -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{M_H + 2 + 4H^2}{2H} \right)^2.$$

Now we can use Theorem 2.2 with  $u = |S|^2$ , i.e., there exists a  $\{p_j\}$  in  $\Sigma^2$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |S|^2(p_j) = \sup_{\Sigma} |S|^2 \text{ and } \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Delta|S|^2(p_j) \leq 0.$$

We use inequality (17) to conclude that  $\sup_{\Sigma} |S|^2 = 0$ , i.e.,  $|S| = 0$  in  $\Sigma^2$ . Then using Lemma 4.1 we conclude the proof. ■

**Theorem 4.7.** *There exists no  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  a complete immersed surface with constant mean curvature greater than  $\sqrt{\frac{12 + \sqrt{176}}{16}} \approx 1.25664$  such that  $|S| = M_H$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that there exists  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  satisfying condition of the theorem. Using the expression (16)

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|S|^2 \geq |S|^2 \left( \frac{8H^4 - 12H^2 - 1}{4H^2} - \frac{1}{H}|S| - |S|^2 \right) + \frac{5}{2}(1 - \nu^2)|S|^2$$

with  $|S| = M_H$  we have:

$$0 \geq 0 + \frac{5}{2}(1 - \nu^2)M_H^2 \geq 0.$$

Hence  $\nu^2 = 1$ , i.e,  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  is a slice  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t_0\}$ . But  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t_0\}$  has zero mean curvature, and this is impossible because  $H$  is positive. ■

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $\Sigma^2 \looparrowright \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  be a closed immersed surface with constant mean curvature  $H$  greater than  $\sqrt{\frac{12 + \sqrt{176}}{16}} \approx 1.25664$ . Assume that*

$$|S| \leq M_H.$$

*Then  $\Sigma^2 = S_H^2$ , i.e,  $\Sigma^2$  is a Hsiang-Pedrosa sphere.*

**Proof.** Let us consider the expression (16)

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|S|^2 \geq |S|^2 \left( \frac{8H^4 - 12H^2 - 1}{4H^2} - \frac{1}{H}|S| - |S|^2 \right) + \frac{5}{2}(1 - \nu^2)|S|^2.$$

As  $|S| \leq M_H$ , we have  $\frac{8H^4 - 12H^2 - 1}{4H^2} - \frac{1}{H}|S| - |S|^2 \geq 0$ . Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|S|^2 \geq \frac{5}{2}(1 - \nu^2)|S|^2.$$

Integrating and using Stokes' Theorem we have

$$0 \geq \frac{5}{2} \int_{\Sigma} (1 - \nu^2)|S|^2 d\Sigma \geq 0.$$

Moreover  $(1 - \nu^2) \cdot |S|^2 = 0$ . If  $\nu^2 = 1$ , again we have a slice, but this is not possible. Therefore  $|S| = 0$ . Using Lemma 4.1 we conclude the proof. ■

## References

- [AdC] H. Alencar and M. do Carmo, Hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in Spheres, Proc. of the AMS 120 (1994), 1223-1229.
- [AR] U. Abresch and H. Rosenberg, A Hopf differential for constant mean curvature surfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ , Acta Math. 193 (2004), 141-174.
- [B] P. Bérard, Simons Equation Revisited, Anais Acad. Brasil. Ciências, 66 (1994), 397-403.
- [D] B. Daniel, Isometric immersions into 3-dimensional homogeneous manifolds, Commentarii Math. Helv. 82, n° 1 (2007), 87-131.
- [Hs] W.Y. Hsiang and W.T. Hsiang, On the uniqueness of isoperimetric solutions and imbedded soap bubbles in noncompact symmetric spaces I, Invent. Math 98 (1989), 39-58.
- [PR] R. Pedrosa and M. Ritoré, Isoperimetric domains in the Riemannian product of a circle with a simply connected space form and applications to free boundary problems, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 48 (1999), 1357-1394.
- [S] J. Simons, Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds, Annals of Math, 88 (1968), 62-105.
- [ST] R. Souam and E. Toubiana, Totally umbilic surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds. To appear in Comment. Math. Helv.
- [Y] S.T. Yau, Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. 28 (1975), 201-228.

Márcio Batista  
Universidade Federal de Alagoas  
Instituto de Matemática  
57072-900 - Maceió - Alagoas - Brazil  
*email – address:* mhbs28@gmail.com